IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION 1:05CR6-2

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Vs.)	ORDER
)	OKDEK
BRYAN DENNIS CRISP.)	
Defendant.)	
)	

THIS CAUSE coming on to be heard and being heard before the undersigned, Dennis L. Howell, United States Magistrate Judge for the Western District of North Carolina, upon a violation report filed in the above entitled cause on May 27, 2005 by the United States Probation Office. In the violation report the United States Probation Office alleged that the defendant had violated terms and conditions of his pretrial release. At the call of this matter on for hearing it appeared that the defendant was present with his counsel, attorney Albert J. Siemens, and that the Government was present through Assistant United States Attorney, Jill Rose, and from the evidence offered and from the statements of the Assistant United States Attorney and the attorney for the defendant, and the records in this cause, the court makes the following findings.

Findings: At the call of the matter, the defendant, by and through his attorney, admitted the allegations contained in the violation report that had been filed on May 27, 2005. The Government introduced, without objection, the violation report into evidence. Testimony was then presented by the Government through Robert T. Ferguson, United States Probation Officer.

The defendant was charged, in a Bill of Indictment filed January 26, 2005, with five counts of offering a false and fictitious financial instrument in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 514(a)(2). A hearing

was held in regard to detention of the defendant on February 25, 2005. On that date, the undersigned entered an order releasing the defendant on a \$10,000.00 unsecured bond. The undersigned further set conditions of release which included the following:

- (1) That the defendant shall not commit any offense in violation of federal, state or local law while on release in this case.
- (7)(m) Refrain from use or unlawful possession of a narcotic drug or other controlled substances defined in 21 U.S.C. § 802, unless prescribed by a licensed medical practitioner.

On April 6, 2005, the defendant submitted to an urinalysis drug test. At that time, the defendant advised the probation officer that he had smoked marijuana two weeks previous to the test and that he had also taken a Valium that belonged to his girlfriend. The urinalysis test was sent to Scientific Testing Laboratories and a result of the test showed a positive test result for cocaine use. After being confronted with this result, the defendant admitted that he had used cocaine. On April 22, 2005 the defendant admitted to Officer Ferguson that he had used cocaine on April 18, 2005. On May 25, 2005 the defendant admitted to Officer Ferguson that he had used cocaine on May 21, 2005.

The defendant is presently on supervised probation from a state law violation. The defendant is being supervised through the McDowell County, North Carolina probation office.

Discussion. 18 U.S.C. § 3148(b)(1) provides as follows: The judicial officer shall enter an order of revocation and detention if, after a hearing, the judicial officer -----

- (1) finds that there is----
- (A) probable cause to believe that the person has committed a Federal, State, or local crime while on release; or
 - (B) clear and convincing evidence that the person has violated any

other condition of release; and

- (2) finds that ---
- (A) based on the factors set forth in section 3142(g) of this title, there is no condition or combination of conditions of release that will assure that the person will not flee or pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community; or
- (B) the person is unlikely to abide by any condition or combination of conditions of release.

If there is probable cause to believe that, while on release, the person committed a Federal, State, or local felony, a rebuttable presumption arises that no condition or combination of conditions will assure that the person will not pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community."

Based upon the evidence, the undersigned finds that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed federal and state crimes while on release. The defendant possessed cocaine on the three occasions that he consumed that substance. That possession violated both federal and state law. The possession of cocaine is a felony under state law. N.C.G.S. § 90-95(a)(3). Due to the fact that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed state felonies, a rebuttable presumption arises, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3148, that no condition or combination of conditions would assure that the defendant would not pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community.

There has further been shown by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant violated other conditions of release in that it has been shown by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant has violated the terms and conditions that states that he shall not commit any offense in violation of federal, state or local law while on release in regard to this case. The possession and consumption of cocaine are violations of federal and state law. The defendant further violated the terms and conditions of his pretrial release in that he was ordered to refrain from use or unlawful possession of a narcotic drug unless it was prescribed by a licensed medical practitioner. The

defendant has clearly violated this condition by possessing cocaine which is a drug not prescribed by licensed medical practitioners.

Due to the findings made above and further considering the presumption that has been created and also considering the factors as set forth under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), it appears there is no condition or combination of conditions of release that will assure that the defendant will not pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community. It is the opinion of the undersigned that based upon the defendant's actions, that it is unlikely that the defendant will abide by any condition or combination of conditions of release. As a result of the above referenced findings, the undersigned has determined to enter an order of revocation revoking the unsecured bond and the terms of pretrial release previously issued in this matter and entering an order detaining the defendant.

Considering that the defendant will now be incarcerated and the fact that the defendant may now chose to request that his state sentence be activated so that he can receive credit for that incarceration, the undersigned considers it appropriate to enter an order ordering that the United States Marshal Service deliver the defendant for one day to the McDowell County Sheriff's Office so that he can attend court proceedings, if he so chooses, wherein his probation may be revoked and his probationary sentence in state court activated.

IT IS THEREFORE **ORDERED**:

1. That the unsecured bond and the terms and conditions of pretrial release entered in this matter are hereby **REVOKED** and it is Ordered that the defendant be detained pending sentencing and further proceedings in this matter.

2. That the United States Marshal Services are **ORDERED** to deliver the defendant to the Sheriff of McDowell County for a period of one (1) day for activation of his state probationary sentence, should the defendant choose to request activation of that sentence.

Signed: June 9, 2005

Dennis L. Howell United States Magistrate Judge